

International Journal of Livestock Production

Volume 6 Number 6 June 2015

ISSN 2141-2448



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT IJLP

The **International Journal of Livestock Production (IJLP)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Selective breeding in animal husbandry, the health effects of animal cruelty, fishery in terms of ecosystem health, Fisheries acoustics etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in the IJLP are peer-reviewed.

International Journal of Livestock Production (IJLP) (ISSN 2141-2448) is monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ijlp@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJLP>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Prof. Carlos A. Gomez

*Nutrition Department,
Faculty of Zootechnical -
Universidad Nacional Agraria
La Molina
Peru*

Dr. K.N. Mohanta

*Fish Nutrition and Physiology Division, Central
Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, Indian
Council of Agricultural Research (Ministry of
Agriculture, Government of India),
Kausalyganga, Bhubaneswar, 751 002,
India.*

Prof. Shaukat Ali Abdulrazak

*National Council For Science and Technology
P.O. Box 30623-00100, Nairobi,
Kenya.*

Dr. S.P. Muthukumar

*Animal House Facility (B&N),
Central Food Technological Research Institute,
CSIR, Mysore - 570020, Karnataka,
India.*

Dr. Frederick Yeboah Obese

*Ruminant Nutrition and Physiology,
Department of Animal Science,
College of Agriculture and Consumer Sciences,
University of Ghana,
Legon, Ghana.*

Dr. Nicola Koper

*Natural Resources Institute,
University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,
MB, R3T 2N2, (204) 474-8768,
Canada.*

Dr. Ramesh Khanal

*Arkansas Children's Nutrition Center (ACNC),
1212 Marshall Street, Little Rock, AR 72205
USA.*

Prof. Maher H. Khalil

*College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine,
Qassim University,
Saudi Arabia .*

Dr. Ming-Che Wu

*Taiwan Livestock Research Institute
Taiwan.*

Dr. Ola Safiriyu Idowu

*Department of Animal Science,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
220005, Ile-Ife,
Osun State,
Nigeria.*

Dr. Olubayo Reardon

*Livestock sector,
Ministry of Livestock Development,
FAO (Sierra Leon) and FARM-Africa
Kenya.*

Dr. Sandip Banerjee

*Department of Animal and Range Sciences,
Hawassa University,
Ethiopia.*

Prof. Tchouamo Isaac Roger

*Faculty of Agriculture,
Department of Extension Education and Rural
Sociology,
University of Dschang,
Dschang
Cameroon.*

Prof. Dale R. ZoBell

*Department of Animal, Dairy and Veterinary Sciences,
Utah State University,
Logan, UT
USA.*

Editorial Board

Dr. SHOOR VIR SINGH

*Microbiology Laboratory, Central Institute for Research on Goats,
Makhdoom, PO - FARAH, Dist. Mathura, UP,
INDIA.*

Dr. OSCAR IRAM ZAVALA LEAL

*Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencia Marinas
Unidad Piloto de Maricultivos
La Paz, BCS.
Mexico*

Dr. Ruheena Javed

*Kurukshetra University
Kurukshetra, Haryana,
India.*

Dr. Juarez Lopes Donzele,

*Department Ph.D., Professor
Department of Animal Science
Universidade Federal de Viçosa (Federal University of
Viçosa, Brazil).
Brazil.*

Dr. Daniella Jorge de Moura,

*Ph.D., Assistant Professor
School of Agricultural Engineering
Universidade Estadual de Campinas (State University of
Campinas, Brazil)
Brazil.*

Dr. Rita Flávia Miranda de Oliveira,

*Ph.D., Assistant Professor
Department of Animal Science
Universidade Federal de Viçosa (Federal University of
Viçosa, Brazil), Brazil*

Dr. Richard S. Gates,

*Ph.D., Professor
Agricultural and Biological Engineering Department
University of Illinois at
Urbana/Champaign, Urbana/Champaign, IL, USA*

Dr. Angela R. Green,

*Ph.D., Assistant Professor
Agricultural and Biological Engineering
University of Illinois at Urbana/Champaign,
Urbana/Champaign, IL,
USA.*

Dr. Tugay AYAŞAN

*East Mediterranean Agricultural Research Institute,
Karatas Road, 01321, Yuregir/Adana
Turkey.*

International Journal of Livestock Production

Table of Contents: Volume 6 Number 6 June 2015

ARTICLES

Research Articles

- Isolation, identification of Staphylococcus aureus from bovine milk and its antibiotics susceptibility** 74
Anueyiagu K. N. and Isiyaku A. W.

Full Length Research Paper

Isolation, identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine milk and its antibiotics susceptibility

Anueyiagu K. N.* and Isiyaku A. W.

Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, P. O. Box 195 NVRI Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria.

Received 3 January, 2015; Accepted 21 April, 2015

The isolation, identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine milk and its antibiotic susceptibility was studied. One milliliter of each freshly drawn milk sample was inoculated into 9 ml of sterile peptone water and incubated overnight. A loop full of peptone water broth was streaked on blood agar base enriched with sheep blood using the quadrant streaking method for each quarter. Blood agar plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. *S. aureus* was identified by the tube coagulase test. Antimicrobial sensitivity test was conducted on *S. aureus* isolates using 18 antibiotics. From the study 43.75% had subclinical mastitis, while none was tested positive for clinical mastitis. None of the *S. aureus* isolates was fully sensitive to all the agents; 3 (42.86%) isolates were resistant to 10 agents, followed by 2 (28.57%) isolates which were resistant to 4 agents.

Key words: Milk, antibiotics, *Staphylococcus aureus*, mastitis, cow.

INTRODUCTION

Milk is a completely balanced diet with the right amount of carbohydrate, protein, fats, vitamins and minerals. Bacteria of sorts thrive in milk and as a result reduce its quality. The presence of pathogenic bacteria in milk is of immense public health significance. The hands of unhygienic milk handlers, the housing environments and instruments, and the cow itself are possible sources of milk contamination by pathogenic bacteria.

Staphylococcus aureus which is one of the causes of mastitis in cows could have its source from milk handlers since most humans carry the organisms in their nostrils. This is the most common type of mastitis and has great economic importance to dairy farmers (Abera et al., 2010). Mastitis in cattle caused by *S. aureus* can either be subclinical or clinical. According Bachaya et al. (2011), sub-clinical mastitis is of global importance in the dairy

industry. It shows no noticeable alterations in the appearance of the milk or the udder, but there is decrease in milk production. The symptoms of clinical mastitis include swelling, hardness, redness, heat, and pain.

It is very important to implement application of an antibiotic susceptibility test prior to the use of antibiotics in both treatment and prevention of intra-mammary infections. This is because the presence of *S. aureus* or any pathogenic bacteria in milk can be a transmission pathway to humans. It becomes more dangerous when the pathogen develops resistance to antibacterial agents. Antibiotics resistance has become a very important public health issue globally (Abebe et al., 2013).

The aim of this study is to isolate and identify *S. aureus* from bovine milk and its antibiotics susceptibility.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: anueyiagunnamdi@yahoo.com

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Table 1. *S. aureus* isolated from clinical and subclinical cases of mastitic cows.

Forms of mastitis	No examined	No of <i>S. aureus</i> isolated	Prevalence (%)
Clinical	16	0	0
Subclinical	16	7	43.75
Total	32	7	21.86

Table 2. Prevalence of *S. aureus* based on risk factors associated with subclinical mastitis.

Risk factor	Total No	No (%) positive	OR and 95% CI	P-value
Age				
0-3	9	3 (33.33)	1	0.614
4-6	7	4 (57.1)	0.39(0.03,4.23)	
Lactation stage				
0-3	5	5 (100)	1	
4-6	11	2 (18.18)	Inf(1.925,inf)	0.005

Key: OR – odds ratio, CI – Confidence interval.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

The macroscopic examination of the udders of the cows at the livestock farm of Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Vom Nigeria, was done according to Sharma and Brinty (2014). This was done by applying gentle pressure with fingers on the udders for the presence of swelling, hardness, redness, heat, and pain. Moreover, the physical characteristic of the milk from each quarter was checked for any alterations. Before the milk samples were collected, each quarter was washed with tap water and dried. The teats were swabbed one after the other with cotton soaked in 70% ethanol. 10 ml of milk was then collected aseptically from the udders into sterile universal bottles after discarding the first three milking streams. Two replicates were carried out for each quarter. Samples from each quarter were transported on ice to Microbiology Laboratory of the Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Vom Nigeria, where standard bacteriological assays followed.

Isolation and identification of bacteria

One milliliter of each sample was inoculated into 9 ml of sterile peptone water and incubated overnight. A loop full of peptone water broth was streaked on blood agar base enriched with sheep blood using the quadrant streaking method for each quarter. Blood agar plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. The plates were then examined for gross colony morphology, pigmentation and haemolytic characteristics at 24 - 48hrs. Presumptive colonies of *S. aureus* was selected and sub cultured on nutrient agar and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 to 48 h (Abera et al., 2010). After this, bacteria were identified by their Gram reaction, morphology, catalase test and coagulase test. *S. aureus* was identified by the tube coagulase test. Samples were considered positive for *S. aureus* when a colony was identified as *S. aureus*.

Antimicrobial resistance pattern test

Antimicrobial sensitivity test was conducted on *S. aureus* isolates.

18 antimicrobials were tested on the isolates (Cheesbrough, 2006). The following antimicrobial disks with their corresponding concentration were used: Pefloxacin (30 µg), amoxicillin (30 µg), gentamycin (10 µg), ampiclox (30 µg), zinacef (20 µg), rocephin (25 µg), ciprofloxacin (10 µg), chloramphenicol(30 µg), streptomycin (30 µg), septrin (30 µg), erythromycin (10 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), levofloxacin(30 µg), ciproflox (10 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), amoxil (30 µg), tarivid (10 µg) and rifampicin (10 µg). The zones of inhibition were reported as the diameter of the clear zone surrounding the individual disk. Based on this, the isolates were defined as resistant, intermediate and sensitive.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained from this research work was analyzed using Chi-Square statistical method on R commander.

RESULTS

Of the total 16 lactating cows examined during the study period, 7 (43.75%) had subclinical mastitis, while none was tested positive for clinical mastitis (Table 1). From Table 2, it can be observed that there is no association between the prevalence of *S. aureus* and age below 6 years having an OR of 0.39 and 95% CI of 0.03, 4.23. There was also no association between the prevalence of *S. aureus* and lactation stage.

Considering age as a risk factor, using Chi-squared test of independence and a P-value of 5% significant level, $\chi^2 = 0.907$, $df = 1$, $P\text{-value} = 0.3409$, we accept the alternative hypothesis that there is a relation between age and the prevalence of *S. aureus* isolated from subclinical mastitic milk samples.

With regards to lactation stage as a risk factor and using Chi-squared test of independence and a P-value of 5% significant level, $\chi^2 = 9.3506$, $df = 1$, $P\text{-value} = 0.0022$,

Table 3. Resistance of *S. aureus* isolates to different antibiotics.

Antimicrobials	Resistant	Intermediate	Sensitive
	no (%)	no (%)	no (%)
Pefloxacin	3 (42.9)	3 (42.9)	1 (14.3)
Gentamycin	3 (42.9)	2 (28.6)	2 (28.6)
Ampiclox	7 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Zinacef	6 (85.7)	1 (14.3)	0 (0)
Amoxicillin	7 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Rocephin	7 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Ciprofloxacin	3 (42.9)	1 (14.3)	3 (42.9)
Streptomycin	4 (57.1)	0 (0)	3 (42.9)
Septtrin	6 (85.7)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)
Erythromycin	6 (85.7)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)
Levofloxacin	1 (14.3)	0 (0)	6 (85.7)
Amoxil	4 (57.1)	0 (0)	3 (42.9)
Chloramphenicol	1 (14.3)	4 (57.1)	2 (28.6)
Ciproflox	6 (85.7)	0 (0)	1 (14.3)
Nalidixic acid	5 (71.4)	1 (14.3)	1 (14.3)
Rifampicin	4 (57.1)	1 (14.3)	2 (28.6)
Tarivid	1 (14.3)	1 (14.3)	5 (71.4)
Norfloxacin	1 (14.3)	2 (28.6)	4 (57.1)

Table 4. Prevalence of multi-drug resistance of *S. aureus* isolates.

Parameter	Frequency of multi-drug resistance	
	Number	Percentage
Susceptible to all agents	0	0
Resistant to 1 agent	0	0
Resistant to 2 agents	0	0
Resistant to 3 agents	1	14.29
Resistant to 4 agents	2	28.57
Resistant to 5 agents	0	0
Resistant to 6 agents	0	0
Resistant to 7 agents	0	0
Resistant to 8 agents	1	14.28
Resistant to 9 agents	0	0
Resistant to 10 agents	3	42.86

we accept the null hypothesis that there is no relationship between lactation stage and the prevalence of *S. aureus* isolated from subclinical mastitic milk samples. From a total of 7 isolates of *S. aureus* obtained from the study, antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed on 7 isolates. From Table 3, it will be observed that *S. aureus* was found to be highly sensitive to levofloxacin (85.7%), followed by tarivid (71.4%) and Norfloxacin (57.1%). However these isolates were highly resistant to amoxicillin (100%), ampiclox (100%) and rocephin (100%) followed by septtrin (85.7%), erythromycin (85.7%) and ciproflox (85.7%).

Based on the prevalence of multi-drug resistance of *S. aureus* isolates shown in Table 4, none of the isolates was fully sensitive to all the agents; 3 (42.86%) isolates were resistant to 10 agents, followed by 2 (28.57%) isolates which were resistant to 4 agents.

DISCUSSION

The result obtained from this research indicates the occurrence rate of subclinical mastitis among the 16 lactating cows was 43.75% (7 cows) which is in line with

some earlier reports of 57.7% in cows in Maiduguri by Bamayi and Aniesona (2013). This report is higher than the report of Suleiman (2012) with a prevalence of 30.9% in subclinical mastitis and that of Ameh et al. (1999) with a prevalence of 31% from settled herds in Zaria.

In most countries and irrespective of the cause, the prevalence of mastitis is about 50% in cows. The infection rate in cows was close to the findings of Abdelrahim et al. (1990), who found a prevalence of 45.8% in Sudan. Of the total 16 lactating cows examined during the study period, 7 (43.75%) had subclinical mastitis, while none was tested positive for clinical mastitis as shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of *S. aureus* based on risk factors associated with subclinical mastitis in the lactating cows showed that there is a relation between age ($P = 0.3409$) and the prevalence of *S. aureus* isolated from subclinical mastitic milk samples. Also, with regards to lactation stage as a risk factor, it was showed that there is no relationship between lactation stage (0.0022) and the prevalence of *S. aureus* isolated. From a total of 7 isolates of *S. aureus* obtained from the study, antimicrobial susceptibility tests were performed on 7 isolates. In this study *S. aureus* were found to be highly sensitive to levofloxacin (85.7%), followed by tarivid (71.4%) and norfloxacin (57.1%). However, these isolates were highly resistant to amoxicillin (100%), ampiclox (100%) and rocephin (100%) followed by septrin (85.7%), erythromycin (85.7%) and ciproflox (85.7%). The antimicrobial resistance profiles are shown in Table 3.

Based on the prevalence of multi-drug resistance of *S. aureus* isolates shown in table 4, none of the parameter is fully sensitive but highly resistant to 10 agents haven number 3 (42.86%), followed by 4 agents with number 2 (28.57%), 1 agents with number 1 (14.28%) and 8 agents haven number 1 (14.28%) in total of 100%.

Conclusion

The prevalence of *S. aureus* can most likely be attributed to the wide distribution of the organism inside mammary glands and on the skin of teats and udders. *S. aureus* adapts very well in the udder and establishes chronic and subclinical infections. From there it is shed into the milk, which serves as a source of infection for healthy cows during the milking process. Of the 16 lactating cows examined, 7 were positive for subclinical mastitis, which may be an indication of a future mastitis problem at the College farm. The antimicrobial susceptibility tests carried out in this study indicated the existence of resistant strains of *S. aureus*.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abdelrahim AI, Shommein AM, Suliman HB, Shaddard SA (1990). Prevalence of mastitis in imported Friesian cows in Sudan. *Rev. Elev. Med. Vet. Pays. Trop.* 42(2): 512-514.
- Abebe M, Daniel A, Yimtubezinash W, Genene T (2013). Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk samples of dairy cows and nasal swabs of farm workers in selected dairy farms around Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 7(27): 3501-35105.
- Abera M, Demiel B, Aragaw K, Regassa F, Regassa A (2010). Isolation and identification of staphylococcus aureus from bovine mastitis milk and their drug resistance pattern in Adama town, Ethiopia. *J. Vet. Med. Anim. Health* 2(3):29-34.
- Ameh JA, Nwiyi TB, Zaria LT (1999). Prevalence of bovine mastitis in Maiduguri, Borno state Nigeria. *Veterinarski Archieves*, 69(2): 87-95.
- Bachaya H, Raza AMA, Murtaz S, Akbar IUR (2011). Subclinical bovine mastitis in Muzaffar Garh district of Punjab (Pakistan). *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 21:16-19.
- Bamayi PH, Aniesona AT (2013) Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of Bovine and Ovine *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 1(2):59-64.
- Cheesbrough M (2006). Microbiological tests. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, 2nd Edition. The Anglo Egyptian bookshop; Part 2.
- Sharma I, Brinty A (2014). Isolation and Identification of *Staphylococcus Aureus* from Bovine Mastitis Milk and Their Drug Resistance Patterns in Silchar Town Dairy Farms, N.E India. *Online International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*. Vol. IV. (<http://www.oijrj.org/oijrj/jan2014-special-issue/23.pdf>)

International Journal of Livestock Production

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*
- *African Journal of Agricultural Research*
- *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*
- *International Journal of Livestock Production*
- *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*
- *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*
- *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*
- *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*

academicJournals